

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/852,683	(05/11/2001	Kaoru Adachi	0905-0259P-SP	4835	
2292	7590	08/04/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
BIRCH ST PO BOX 74		KOLASCH & BIR	LEE, CHRIS	LEE, CHRISTOPHER E		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2112		

DATE MAILED: 08/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/852,683	ADACHI, KAORU					
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Christopher E. Lee	2112					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 02 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a					
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 Co. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's	FR 1.704(b). Brief must be filed within the pe	eriod set forth in					
37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 2. ☑ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below), (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☑ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the							
issues for appeal; and/or	Total Total appear by mane						
(d) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject							
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		dered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: none.							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 6</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: none.							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	roved or b) disapproved by t	he Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·					
10. Other:	Sludo	h					
BEST AVAILABLE	Glenn A. A. Primary Patent Technology Ce	Auve Examiner Official Inter 2100					

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 009/852,683

Continuation of 2. NOTE: In response to the Applicant's argument with respect to the claims 1-4 and 6 rejection under USC 103(a) on the Response pages 12-16, the Applicant argues with the amended claims' issues, which need further consideration because the amended claims extend the scope of the claimed invention. Furthermore, the Applicant presents additional claims. Thus, the Applicant's argument on this point is not persuasive.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In response to the Applicant's arguments regarding to the prior art rejection, the arguments are drawn to limitations which have not been entered for consideration.

BEST AVAILABLE COPY